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May 15, 2017

Team 17

Project under development: Property Management

Dear Team 17,

Thank you for the clear and well demonstrated presentation of your project system, “property management”. We have evaluated your ability to present the system and the quality of the system presented during the demonstration.

One of our roles as the client team was to evaluate team 17’s preparedness on how they delivered their presentation for the end of sprint 1.

Through our analysis on their performance, you and your team were quite prepared with majority of your acceptance criteria completed. During the presentation, they had already put up their story cards and website for us to view. They then had their speaker go through and explain each story card then demonstrate these story cards on their website. The drawbacks team 17 had in their preparedness was that through the presentation only one person talked without any input from his other team members. Which may suggest that he was the only fully prepared member on the team for the presentation however this may just be how they organised the presentation.

Even though the client team only received input from one team member of the development team, team 17 could efficiently demonstrate their product. The speaker was very clear during the demonstration and could sufficiently answer any questions.

A suggestion for the development teams next presentation is to make sure that their entire team is well versed on what is happening for the presentation so they can provide input if needed.

As the developers, your team set and agreed to the general expectations for the end of the current sprint. The Development team followed the sprint plan and produced a product based on the user stories. Each user story was addressed from the sprint 1 plan and demonstrated on the website.

Most of the stories satisfy all the acceptance criteria. The development team follows the acceptance criteria in the user stories to produce the best outcome. There are still some unfinished stories which the team has explained the difficulties in implementations and promised to finish in the next sprint. The development team has mentioned about input validation, which the admin/staff still can input unsuitable character in a specific fields. Your team also has not developed the front-end of the website. We were told that your team wanted to focus on the back-end functionality of the website in sprint 1 and the design of the website will be done in sprint 2.

The product is very good in terms of backend functionality, but it doesn’t deliver much business value due to the lack of front-end developments. There aren’t any pictures or interesting features to attract the potential customers, which are the main targets of the website. The product now is only suitable for the admin and the staffs to manage the properties, not for the potential tenants.

The team chose to use a php/html/css architecture to carry out the task which is a suitable choice for a web application. The team chose to use php for the backend of their website because php is a highly tested and well documented backend language that is commonly known by all members in the team.

There were no technical difficulties in the demonstration of the website. The backend developed was well tested and well implemented.

The product presentation was clear and precise. Following each user stories as the flow of the presentation shows the clear structure of tasks and function details. It also coherent with the project goals and objectives that establish a full understanding of whole system in general.

Explanation of the product was detailed and demonstrated how the back-end data structure works. Each of the website functions were briefly described and demoed in front of all clients.

Each question is well explained and answered. However, the rest of developing team can have more engagement with the client team while in the question section. All group member’s involvement will be the key to perfect this product presentation.

In conclusion, the developer team demonstrated their product professionally. The product meets the reasonable expectations for the end of the current sprint. From the technical point of view the backend of the website is well developed, however the front-end is left for the sprint 2. Therefore, the website doesn’t deliver much business value. Pictures or interesting features could satisfy the client's vision. The demonstration was well presented but a suggestion for the next demonstration is that all team members be involved in presentation rather than one person. Overall we were happy with the product presented and look forward to additions coming at the end of sprint 2.

Sincerely

Team 12: Joshua, James, Carl, Tuan, Nayereh

Enclosures